

*Iacovacci v. Brevet Holdings, LLC*, No. 1:18-cv-8048-MKV  
**Plaintiff's Appendix 2**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Document</b>	<b>Brevet Request</b>	<b>Brevet Position</b>	<b>Plaintiff Response</b>
Ex. 187 ECF No. 338-1	DEL00063463 – DEL00063483 (July 19, 2004 email with attachment “Brevet Capital Presentation”)	Redact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trade Secret and Confidential Business Information:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Brevet Capital LLC presentation dated July 2004</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	This document is from 2004. Stale business records do not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff’s Letter at Section B. Moreover, the document is innocuous, and Brevet has not met its burden of establishing its competitive sensitivity. <i>See</i> Plaintiff’s Letter at Section A.
Ex. 188 ECF No. 338-2	BREVET 000598 – BREVET 000636 (FCS Advisors, Inc., Employee Handbook, June 2006)	Withhold	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trade Secret and Confidential Business Information               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FCS Advisors, Inc., Employee Handbook, June 2006</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Employee handbooks do not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff’s Letter at Section C. Moreover, this document is from 2006, and stale business records do not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff’s Letter at Section B. Further, the document is innocuous, and Brevet has not met its burden of establishing its competitive sensitivity. <i>See</i> Plaintiff’s Letter at Section A.
Ex. 189 ECF No. 338-3	Dinershteyn Deposition Transcript, Volume 1 (Oct. 4, 2021)	Redact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trade Secret and Confidential Business Information related to Brevet’s business:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tr. 22-24.</li> <li>Tr. 84:18-20</li> <li>Tr. 85:12-25</li> <li>Tr. 86:19-25</li> <li>Tr. 87:2-17</li> <li>Tr. 89:6-15</li> <li>Tr. 91:15-25</li> <li>Tr. 92:2-5</li> <li>Tr. 94:5-6</li> <li>Tr. 95:7, 17</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This testimony is innocuous, and Brevet has not met its burden of establishing its competitive sensitivity. <i>See</i> Plaintiff’s Letter at Section A. Moreover, Dinershteyn left Brevet in 2018. These statements are stale and do not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff’s Letter at Section B.</li> </ul>

*Iacovacci v. Brevet Holdings, LLC*, No. 1:18-cv-8048-MKV**Plaintiff's Appendix 2**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Document</b>	<b>Brevet Request</b>	<b>Brevet Position</b>	<b>Plaintiff Response</b>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trade Secret and Confidential Business Information related to Brevet's company structure:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tr. 54-60.</li> <li>Tr. 63:22-23</li> <li>Tr. 64:2-3</li> <li>Tr. 65:3-4</li> <li>Tr. 68:3,15,17,25</li> <li>Tr. 69:11, 16, 22</li> <li>Tr. 70: 4, 6, 10, 12, 1, 24</li> <li>Tr. 71:3, 7, 8, 11, 14</li> <li>Tr. 72: 8-11, 14, 18</li> <li>Tr. 73:5, 1, 24-25</li> <li>Tr. 74:9-22</li> <li>Tr. 75:2-6</li> <li>Tr. 75:3-23</li> <li>Tr. 77:2-6, 13, 23-25</li> <li>Tr. 78:2-3, 14</li> <li>Tr. 79:23-25</li> <li>Tr. 80:2-21</li> </ul> </li> <li>Trade Secret and Confidential Business Information related to J. Tripp Separation Agreement:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tr. 104:7-105:15</li> <li>Tr. 106:9-25</li> <li>Tr. 107:2-23</li> <li>Tr. 108:9-11</li> <li>Tr. 109:7-15</li> <li>Tr. 110:7-11</li> <li>Tr. 113:17-22</li> <li>Tr. 114:16-25</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Statements about business structure or corporate organization do not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section D. Moreover, Dinershteyn left Brevet in 2018. These statements are stale and do not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section B. Further, this testimony is innocuous, and Brevet has not met its burden of establishing its competitive sensitivity. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section A.</li> <li>Plaintiff takes no position as to whether Brevet has met its burden with respect to the following excerpts: Tr. 104:7-105:15, 106:9-25, 107:2-23, 108:9-11, 115:19-25, 116:2-8. Plaintiff opposes sealing the remaining excerpts, which do not concern the J. Tripp Separation Agreement and concern payments to Iacovacci: Tr. 109:7-15, 110:7-11, 113:17-22, 114:16-25, 115:2-3. Brevet has not met its burden with respect to</li> </ul>

*Iacovacci v. Brevet Holdings, LLC*, No. 1:18-cv-8048-MKV**Plaintiff's Appendix 2**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Document</b>	<b>Brevet Request</b>	<b>Brevet Position</b>	<b>Plaintiff Response</b>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Tr. 115:2-3, 19-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 116:2-8</li> </ul>	those excerpts. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section A. Moreover, Dinershteyn left Brevet in 2018, and Iacovacci left Brevet in 2016. These statements are stale and do not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section B.
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confidential Personal Information               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Tr. 6:15-17</li> <li>○ Tr. 21:23-22:3</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Plaintiff takes no position as to whether Brevet has met its burden with respect to this request.</li> </ul>
Ex. 190 ECF No. 338-4	Dinershteyn Deposition Transcript Volume 2 (Oct. 18, 2021)	Redact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confidential Business Information related to company finances and structure               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Tr. 138:22-23</li> <li>○ Tr. 139:7-8</li> <li>○ Tr. 140:23-141:2</li> <li>○ Tr. 141:20-142:14</li> <li>○ Tr. 143:8-9; 13-16; 18-20; 24</li> <li>○ Tr. 144:21-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 145:7-8; 15-18; 21-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 146:2-4; 6-10; 13-22; 25</li> <li>○ Tr. 147:2-12; 15; 17-18; 21-22</li> <li>○ Tr. 148:3; 18-19</li> <li>○ Tr. 153:14-15; 20-21; 24-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 154:2-4; 11-16</li> <li>○ Tr. 155:7-16</li> <li>○ Tr. 156:2-18</li> <li>○ Tr. 157:9-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 158:3-6; 8-11; 13-14; 17-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 159:2-3; 6-8; 13-16; 20-23</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	This testimony is innocuous, and Brevet has not met its burden of establishing its competitive sensitivity. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section A. Moreover, statements about business structure or corporate organization do not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section D. Further, Dinershteyn left Brevet in 2018, and much of the testimony relates to earlier time periods. These statements are stale and do not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section B.

*Iacovacci v. Brevet Holdings, LLC*, No. 1:18-cv-8048-MKV**Plaintiff's Appendix 2**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Document</b>	<b>Brevet Request</b>	<b>Brevet Position</b>	<b>Plaintiff Response</b>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Tr. 160:10; 15-16</li> <li>○ Tr. 161:2-3</li> <li>○ Tr. 168:11-13</li> <li>○ Tr. 173:13-19</li> <li>○ Tr. 179:23-180:10</li> <li>○ Tr. 182:23-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 183:17-184:9</li> <li>○ Tr. 189:2; 11-12; 17-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 190:2-4; 6-8; 13; 15-18; 21-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 191:2; 5-7</li> <li>○ Tr. 192:13-15</li> <li>○ Tr. 194:8-9</li> <li>○ Tr. 198:16</li> <li>○ Tr. 199:18-19; 22-23</li> <li>○ Tr. 200:20</li> <li>○ Tr. 202:10-11; 15; 23-24</li> <li>○ Tr. 203: 2-4</li> <li>○ Tr. 204:9; 14; 20-24</li> <li>○ Tr. 205:3-8</li> <li>○ Tr. 206:3; 17; 19; 23-24</li> <li>○ Tr. 207:2-22</li> <li>○ Tr. 208:2; 8-10; 12-13</li> <li>○ Tr. 209:3-17</li> <li>○ Tr. 212:20-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 213:2; 5</li> <li>○ Tr. 215:4-9; 21-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 216: 3-9; 24-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 217: 4-5; 7-11; 14-19; 24-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 218: 2; 5</li> </ul>	

*Iacovacci v. Brevet Holdings, LLC*, No. 1:18-cv-8048-MKV**Plaintiff's Appendix 2**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Document</b>	<b>Brevet Request</b>	<b>Brevet Position</b>	<b>Plaintiff Response</b>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Tr. 221:16</li> <li>○ Tr. 223:6-9</li> <li>○ Tr. 224:5-6; 8-9</li> <li>○ Tr. 225:8-9; 21-23</li> <li>○ Tr. 226:13-14</li> <li>○ Tr. 231:6-9; 12-16; 19-24</li> <li>○ Tr. 238:13-17; 21-25</li> <li>○ Tr. 250:3-8</li> </ul>	
Ex. 191 ECF No. 338-5	BREVETNEW-011399 – BREVETNEW-011400 (June 24, 2016 email with attachment “Employee Document List 2016-05.xlsx”)	Redact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Confidential Business Information and Personal Information:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Employee Document List 2016-05</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Plaintiff takes no position as to whether Brevet has met its burden with respect to redacting employee names and titles, the first two columns on Ex. 191 p. 3.</p> <p>Plaintiff opposes the remaining redactions in this document, which are not necessary to protect personal information. This information is innocuous, and Brevet has not met its burden of establishing its competitive sensitivity. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section A.</p>
Ex. 199 ECF No. 338-6	BREVET022753 – BREVET022758 (Sept. 30, 2015 email with attachment)	Redact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trade Secret and Confidential Business Information:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Identifying potential Brevet client; and</li> <li>○ NDA between Brevet and potential Brevet client.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Plaintiff takes no position as to whether Brevet has met its burden with respect to the request to seal information identifying a third party. However, Brevet's proposed redactions to pp. 1-2 are not properly tailored, and are much broader than identifying information. Brevet has not met its burden with respect to those additional redactions, and the request should be rejected. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section A.</p>

*Iacovacci v. Brevet Holdings, LLC*, No. 1:18-cv-8048-MKV**Plaintiff's Appendix 2**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Document</b>	<b>Brevet Request</b>	<b>Brevet Position</b>	<b>Plaintiff Response</b>
				The NDA attachment (BREVET 022755 – 022758) does not warrant redaction in full. The document, which is an unexecuted draft, is from 2015 and is stale and generic. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Sections A & B; <i>see also</i> Dkt. 308-45, Ex. 25 at 10 n. 21 (expert report describing this document as "similar to innumerable other NDAs" and "not a commercially sensitive document").
Ex. 200 ECF No. 338-7	BREVET-REPRO-0062542 – BREVET-REPRO-0062544 (Sept. 2016 correspondence between Paul Iacovacci and Mark Callahan)	Redact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trade Secret and Confidential Business Information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identifying potential Brevet client.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Plaintiff takes no position as to whether Brevet has met its burden with respect to the request to seal information identifying a third party. However, Brevet's proposed redactions are not properly tailored, and are much broader than identifying information. Brevet has not met its burden with respect to those additional redactions, and the request should be rejected. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section A.
Ex. 202 ECF No. 338-8	BREVET-REPRO-0067954 – BREVET-REPRO-0067961 (Brevet Corporate Organizational Chart, Sept. 15, 2016)	Withhold	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trade Secret and Confidential Business Information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Brevet organization charts</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	This organization chart is from 2016. This information is stale, innocuous, and does not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Sections A & B. Moreover, information about corporate organization does not warrant sealing. <i>See</i> Plaintiff's Letter at Section D.